Sensitivity and specificity of high-resolution balanced steady-state free precession fMRI at high field of 9.4 T
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A B S T R A C T

Balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) is an attractive fMRI method at high fields due to minimal spatial distortion. To examine sensitivity and specificity of bSSFP fMRI at ultrahigh magnetic field of 9.4 T, we performed high-resolution pass-band high flip-angle (16°) bSSFP fMRI with four phase cycling (PC) angles at two repetition times (TR) of 10 ms and 20 ms and conventional gradient-recalled-echo (GRE) fMRI with TR of 20 ms on rat brain during forepaw stimulation. The sensitivity of bSSFP fMRI with TR of 20 ms was higher than that of GRE fMRI regardless of PC angle. Because of magnetic field inhomogeneity, fMRI foci were changed with PC angle in bSSFP fMRI, which was more prominent when TR was shorter. Within a middle cortical layer region where magnetic field inhomogeneity was relatively small, the homogeneity of bSSFP fMRI signals was higher at shorter TR. Acquisition of baseline transition-band bSSFP images helped to identify pass- and transition-band regions and to understand corresponding bSSFP fMRI signals. Fourier analysis of the multiple PC bSSFP datasets provided echoes of multiple pathways separately, and the main echo component showed lower sensitivity and better homogeneity than the free induction decay component. In summary, pass-band bSSFP techniques would have advantages over GRE-based fMRI in terms of sensitivity, and may be a good choice for fMRI at ultrahigh fields.
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Introduction

Blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) technique (Bandettini et al., 1992; Kwong et al., 1992; Ogawa et al., 1993) has made a wide impact on neuroscience, physiology, and psychology. The most commonly used pulse sequence for fMRI has been gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) because of its speed and high sensitivity to the BOLD contrast. However, this technique is also sensitive to image distortion and degradation caused by local field inhomoegy, especially at high fields. To minimize distortion problems and enhance signal to noise ratio (SNR), balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) has been proposed as an alternative fMRI technique (Bandettini et al., 1992; Kwong et al., 1992; Ogawa et al., 1993) has made a wide impact on neuroscience, physiology, and psychology. The most commonly used pulse sequence for fMRI has been gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) because of its speed and high sensitivity to the BOLD contrast. However, this technique is also sensitive to image distortion and degradation caused by local field inhomoegy, especially at high fields. To minimize distortion problems and enhance signal to noise ratio (SNR), balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) has been proposed as an alternative fMRI technique (Bandettini et al., 1992; Kwong et al., 1992; Ogawa et al., 1993). Two distinctive methods have been proposed for bSSFP fMRI. One is pass-band bSSFP that is optimized for pass-band regions by employing high flip angle and the other is transition-band bSSFP that is optimized for transition-band regions by employing low flip angle. To minimize confusion, we will denote pass-band bSSFP and transition-band bSSFP by high flip-angle bSSFP (10°–20° at 9.4 T) and low flip-angle bSSFP (1°–5° at 9.4 T), respectively, throughout this article. Initial bSSFP fMRI studies have focused on improving the BOLD sensitivity by utilizing transition bands where both magnitude and phase signals change significantly with small frequency shifts (low flip-angle transition-band bSSFP) (Lee et al., 2006, 2007; Miller et al., 2003, 2006; Scheffler et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007). Because low flip-angle bSSFP covers narrow spatial regions and is sensitive to B_0 fluctuation, high flip-angle (pass-band-optimized) bSSFP has been recently adopted for fMRI studies (Bowen et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007).

Although high flip-angle bSSFP is a promising tool for high-resolution fMRI, its signal sources are unclear due to the existence of two distinctive frequency bands, i.e., phase-insensitive pass bands and phase-sensitive transition bands (Scheffler and Hennig, 2003). Various studies have been performed to understand contrast mechanism, tissue specificity, and contributions of multiple pathways of high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI. In some studies, sources of high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI signals have been reported as T_2* at long repetition time (TR) (Miller et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007), T_2 at short TR (Miller et al., 2007), and diffusion in the extravascular area (Bowen et al., 2006). Although the improvement of tissue specificity of high-flip-angle bSSFP fMRI has been found with computer simulations (Kim et al., 2007b; Miller and Jezzard, 2008; Patterson et al., 2008), it has not been clearly demonstrated experimentally, because the spatial
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resolution of fMRI for human studies is limited. Also contributions of multiple pathways in bSSFP fMRI have been implicated from multiple TE/TR studies (Lee et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2007). Furthermore, bSSFP fMRI contrast will depend on the spatial location of the pass bands and transition bands, which will also depend on shading conditions and anatomical structures within activation areas (e.g. large veins). Since it would be difficult that all activation pixels have frequency shifts within the pass-band domain especially at ultrahigh fields, it is more likely that both $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ characteristics reside with spatial heterogeneity in high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI map at ultrahigh fields. These effects have not been systematically investigated.

As shown in the pulse sequence diagram (Fig. 1), there is no accumulation of phases associated with gradients in bSSFP, because the zeroth moment is null over each repetition time (TR). The phase evolution per TR is spatially heterogeneous because of magnetic field inhomogeneity and can shift due to scanner $B_0$ drift. The contribution of pass bands and transition bands to bSSFP images can be changed by incrementing the RF pulse phase in a constant amount for each TR period (which is often called phase cycling angle). Multiple datasets acquired at multiple phase cycling (PC) angles can virtually cover all cases of variations in pass bands and transition bands induced by magnetic field inhomogeneity. Furthermore, the bSSFP signal is summation of echoes of multiple pathways including the two major components of the free induction decay (FID) (often called as fast imaging with steady state precession, FISP) and the main echo (often called as reversed FISP, PSIF). The echoes of the multiple pathways of bSSFP can be separated from the multiple phase-cycled bSSFP data using Fourier analysis (Zur et al., 1990). If we acquire total $M$ bSSFP datasets with PC angles of $2m(j−1) / M$ ($j = 1$ to $M$), the phase shift of $n$-th echo is $2m(j−1) / M$. Based on this, the $M$-point discrete Fourier transform of the $M$ bSSFP datasets correspond to the individual $M$ echoes of the multiple pathways including FID and main echo (see Zur et al. (1990) for details). To this end, high-resolution multiple PC bSSFP fMRI with number of PC angles $\geq 4$ (for Fourier transform) may overcome abovementioned limitations of bSSFP fMRI and provide new insights into contrast mechanism, tissue specificity, and contributions of multiple pathways of bSSFP fMRI.

In this study, we performed high-resolution high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI at 4 PC angles ($0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $270^\circ$) and two different TR values ($10$ and $20$ ms) in comparison with conventional gradient-recalled echo (GRE) fMRI on rat brains at 9.4 T. The sensitivity and spatial specificity of fMRI maps were investigated in cortical surface, tissue, and middle layer regions separately, and the fMRI maps were visually compared with BOLD venogram (Park et al., 2008) and with baseline low flip-angle (transition-band-optimized) bSSFP images. In addition, we reconstructed two main components of bSSFP (i.e., FID and main echo) from the multiple phase-cycled bSSFP datasets using Fourier analysis (Zur et al., 1990) and then fMRI map from each component was obtained. Two additional methods developed for removing banding artifacts (maximum intensity projection and nonlinear averaging reconstruction (Elliott et al., 2007)) were also investigated for the multiple PC bSSFP fMRI datasets. Sensitivity and spatial specificity of all bSSFP and GRE fMRI data were compared to one another.

Methods

Computer simulation

Baseline magnitude and phase signals of 9.4 T bSSFP were simulated as a function of precession angle per TR at four different PC angles of $0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $270^\circ$, which we will denote PC0, PC1, PC2, and PC3, respectively, throughout this article, and two TE/TR values of $10/20$ ms and $5/10$ ms with flip angle of $16^\circ$, based on the equations given by Scheffler and Hennig (Scheffler and Hennig, 2003) and tissue $T_1 = 2$ s and $T_2 = 40$ ms (Lee et al., 1999; Tsekos et al., 1998). The precession angle per TR represents the phase evolution during one TR period ($−2\pi$ to $+2\pi$ for TR of $10$ ms and $−4\pi$ to $+4\pi$ for TR of $20$ ms) in the range of resonance frequency shift of $±100$ Hz. From the simulation results at the four different PC angles, magnitude and phase signals of the FID and main echo components were extracted at each TR value by applying Fourier analysis along the PC dimension (see below) (Zur et al., 1990). The four PC bSSFP fMRI datasets were reconstructed into two different datasets: maximum intensity projection (MIP) and the average of three highest values (i.e., $(\sum$ minimum)/3) which will be called as nonlinear averaging (NLA) (Elliott et al., 2007) throughout this article. The NLA is based on the notion that the minimum intensity likely belongs to transition band, hence should be excluded to minimize banding artifacts while maintaining high SNR. To assess the contributions of aliasing effects from echoes other than the 4 echoes from the 4-point Fourier analysis, we additionally calculated intensities of total 21 echoes with the analytical solutions provided by Zur et al. (1990).

Animal preparation and forepaw stimulation

Six male Sprague–Dawley rats weighing 250–450 g (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) were used with approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of Pittsburgh. The rats were initially anesthetized with $5.0\%$ isoflurane in an air:O$_2$ mixture to attain a fraction of inspired oxygen of $30\%$ within a small plastic box, and then intubated for mechanical ventilation (RSP-1002, Kent Scientific, CT, USA). The isoflurane level was reduced to $2.0\%$ for surgical preparation. The femoral artery and femoral vein were catheterized for blood gas sampling and for fluid administration, respectively. Then the isoflurane level was reduced to $1.4\%$. The head of the animal was carefully secured to a home-built cradle by means of ear pieces and a bite bar. Rectal temperature was maintained at $37±0.5^\circ$ C with a water-heating pad, controlled by a thermocouple and feedback unit. Five percent dextrose in saline was infused intravenously at $0.4$ ml/hr. Ventilation rate and volume were adjusted based on blood gas analysis results (Stat profile pHox; Nova Biomedical, MA, USA).

Electrical stimulation was applied to either the right or left forepaw using two needle electrodes inserted under the skin between digits 2 and 4 (Silva et al., 1999). The electrodes were connected to a constant current stimulation isolator (A365D, World Precision Instruments, Inc., Sarasota, FL, USA), which was triggered by a pulse generator (Master 8, AMPI, Israel). Stimulation parameters for activation studies were: current = $1.2$ – $1.6$ mA, pulse duration = $1−3$ ms, repetition rate = $6−8$ Hz, stimulation duration = $15$ s, and inter-stimulation period = $2−3$ min, most of which were adopted from stimulus parameter optimization studies (Kim et al., 2007a, 2010; Masamoto et al., 2007).
All experiments were carried out on a Varian 9.4 T/31-cm MRI system (Palo Alto, CA) with an actively-shielded gradient coil of 12-cm inner diameter, which operates at a maximum gradient strength of 40 G/cm and a rise time of 120 μs. A homogeneous coil and a surface coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, MA) were used for RF excitation and reception, respectively. Localized shimming was performed with point resolved spectroscopy (Bottomley, 1987) over a coronal slab (~12 x 6 x 6 mm³) covering forelimb somatosensory cortex to yield a water spectral linewidth of 20–30 Hz. To compare venogram with fMRI, BOLD microscopy was performed with a 3D RF-spoiled gradient-echo pulse sequence, as described previously (Park et al., 2008).

Imaging parameters for BOLD 3D microscopy were: TR = 40 ms, TE = 20 ms, matrix size = 256 x 192 x 128, field of view = 2.4 x 2.4 x 1.2 cm³, number of averages = 2, and total scan time = 18.4 min. For single-slice fMRI studies with matrix size = 256 (readout) x 192 (phase-encode), field of view = 2.4 x 2.4 cm², and slice thickness = 2 mm, eight high flip-angle bSSFP and one GRE studies were performed. For GRE, no RF spoiling was used but constant spoiling gradients were applied along slice-select and readout directions. The bSSFP studies were performed with four different PC angles of 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270° (PC0, PC1, PC2, and PC3, respectively), and TE/TR of 5/10 ms and 10/20 ms, while GRE fMRI was acquired with TE/TR = 10/20 ms. Spectral widths for all the IMRI studies with the short TR (10 ms) and long TR (20 ms) values were 64 kHz and 32 kHz, respectively. Flip angles for all the bSSFP studies and the GRE study were 16° and 8°, respectively, optimized based on signal-to-noise ratio (data not shown). The number of averages for the datasets with TR of 10 ms and 20 ms was 2 and 1, respectively, to maintain the same temporal resolution of 3.84 s for all fMRI studies. These scan parameters are summarized in Table 1. Twenty four images were acquired with BOLD microscopy zero-filled and Fourier-transformed to yield isotropic resolution of 93.75 μm in 2D and 3D, respectively. All repeated fMRI runs with the same imaging parameters were averaged. One FID and main echo components were extracted as new IMRI datasets from the four phase-cycled, high flip angle, pass-band bSSFP datasets for each TR value (Zur et al., 1990): the four points along the PC dimension were Fourier-transformed by sequentially applying MATLAB functions of "fft" and "ifftshift" (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA), and then the third and the second points were assigned to the FID and main echo components, respectively. Two additional datasets were reconstructed from the multiple PC bSSFP datasets with MIP and NLA, in the same way described in the “computer simulation” section. To reconstruct a 2D view from the 3D dataset acquired with BOLD microscopy, a 21-pixel (~2 mm) coronal slab corresponding to the position of IMRI studies was selected and vessel detection within the slab was improved by minimum-intensity projection (Reichenbach and Haacke, 2001) (see Fig. 2a).

A T-value functional map for each IMRI dataset (including those from FID, main echo, MIP, and NLA) was determined by performing T-test with significance level of ≤0.1 and the number of contiguous activated pixels ≥6 (see Fig. 2e). For quantitative analyses, three regions of interest (ROI) were selected in functional activation areas; cortical surface ROI, tissue ROI, and middle cortical layer ROI. A cortical surface ROI (see Fig. 2b) was manually drawn including the whole functional activation regions, but excluding the cortical surface ROI. A middle cortical layer ROI (Fig. 2d) was defined between two manually-drawn curves along the cortical surface at ~0.3 and ~1.1 mm away from the cortical surface within the tissue ROI. Activation pixels within cortical ROI (Fig. 2b), tissue ROI (Fig. 2c), and middle layer ROI (Fig. 2d) were separately grouped (Figs. 2f-h). In cortical surface and tissue ROI groups (see Figs. 2f and g), mean T value (Tmean) and number of activation pixels (Nact) were quantified, and a total IMRI sensitivity index was calculated as multiplication of Tmean with Nact (TN). To understand the spatial specificity of IMRI maps, a ratio of IMRI sensitivity index was calculated by dividing the total IMRI sensitivity index of the tissue ROI by that of the cortical surface ROI (TNtissue/TNsurf). To examine the homogeneity and potential source of IMRI signals, a normalized spatial variation of T values of activation pixels was calculated within the middle layer ROI (i.e., standard deviation divided by mean of T values, std(T)/mean(T)). Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed for comparison of two groups with significance level of 0.05.

### Results

#### Simulation results

Fig. 3 shows simulated magnitude and phase responses of bSSFP as a function of resonance frequency (phase) shift at four PC angles when TR = 10 ms (Figs. 3a–d), the responses at PC2 when TR = 20 ms (Fig. 3e), the responses of FID and main echo components extracted from the data in Figs. 3a–d with Fourier analysis (Figs. 3f and g), the responses of MIP and NLA of the data in Figs. 3a–d (Figs. 3h and i), and analytical solution of echo intensities of multiple pathways (Fig. 3j). Since our simulations were performed within a resonance frequency shift of ±100 Hz, the phase evolution induced by off-resonance frequency (horizontal axis) is twice larger at TR = 20 ms (Fig. 3e) than at TR = 10 ms (Fig. 3c). It should be noted that the magnitude response of bSSFP is related to T1 and T2 as well as flip angle and TR, but flip angle does not change the location of pass- and transition-bands. Both magnitude and phase responses shifted along the horizontal axis in the amount of the PC angle (Figs.
At PC0, steep changes in magnitude and phase (indicating transition band) were observed near on-resonance frequency (phase evolution = 0 rad) (Fig. 3a), and the magnitude around on-resonance frequency was maximized at a low flip angle of 2° (i.e., transition-band bSSFP) (data not shown). At PC2, the constant magnitude and phase (indicating pass band) were detected near on-resonance frequency, and the magnitude around on-resonance frequency was maximized at this relatively high flip angle of 16° (i.e., pass-band bSSFP). In simulation data of bSSFP with TR=10 ms (Figs. 3a–d), the phase changes were less flatter in the pass-band regions and less steeper in the transition-band regions than those simulated at TR of 3.6 ms for low fields (Scheffler and Hennig, 2003). This phenomenon became more severe at TR=20 ms (Fig. 3e). The magnitude difference between pass-band and transition-band regions became smaller at the longer TR (Fig. 3e), implying that characteristics of bSSFP signals become closer to those of GRE which have constant magnitude and linearly-increasing phase responses with resonance frequency shift (i.e., phase evolution).

Magnitude responses of the FID and the main echo components (Figs. 3f and g) were almost constant regardless of phase evolution, but the FID intensity was higher than the main echo intensity. The phase of the FID and main echo signals was linearly changed with phase evolution angle (Figs. 3f and g). Note that the slope of phase changes of the two components was identical, implying their equal sensitivity to intravoxel functional susceptibility-induced frequency changes. Overall phase responses of MIP increased step by step and
each step showed the flattest phase responses (Fig. 3h). Overall phase responses of NLA were similar to those of MIP, while phase responses in individual step of NLA were steeper than those of MIP (Fig. 3i). Although MIP provided the highest intensity (Fig. 3h), its functional sensitivity would not necessarily be higher than sensitivity of FID or NLA, because only one pixel point among the multiple PC points was chosen (rather than being averaged) in MIP.

According to the analytical solutions of Fourier analysis (Zur et al., 1990) with TR = 10 ms (Fig. 3j), the two highest intensities were observed at echo number 0 (A₀, FID) and −1 (A₋₁, main echo), as expected. The next significant echoes were echo numbers 1 and −2 (A₁ and A₋₂), which correspond to the two remaining points from the 4-point Fourier transform other than the two major points (FID and main echo). According to Zur et al. (1990), finite number of phase cycling angles (M = 4 in our case) may cause aliasing effects in an echo with echo number n from echoes with echo number n ± pM (p = ±1, ±2, ±3, etc.). In our simulation studies, the signals quickly decreased in echoes with echo number ≤−3 and those with echo number ≥2 (Fig. 3j), implying that there would be no significant aliasing effects under our experimental conditions. These characteristics at TR = 10 ms were similar to those at TR = 20 ms.

![Fig. 4. Four phase-cycled pass-band bSSFP and GRE fMRI maps at 9.4 T overlaid on corresponding baseline images of a representative animal. The bSSFP fMRI datasets were acquired with flip angle = 16°, and TE/TR = 5/10 ms (a) and 10/20 ms (b). Phase cycling (PC) angles are indicated on top of each image. (c) Function MRI map acquired with GRE at TE/TR = 10/20 ms (top) and the BOLD venogram with minimum-intensity projection applied over the slab corresponding to the position of the fMRI maps (bottom). The images in c are for comparison reference to bSSFP fMRI maps shown in a and b. The distance scale bar in the bottom of c represents 3 mm.](image)

**Functional MRI maps acquired at multiple phase cycling angles**

In multiple phase-cycled bSSFP fMRI maps at both TR values (Fig. 4), activation was observed at contralateral somatocortical areas. The activation foci (yellow pixels) were located around the cortical surface at some PC angles (mostly PC1 and PC2) and located in the middle cortical regions at the other PC angles (mostly PC0 and PC3), when TR = 10 ms (Fig. 4a). When TR = 20 ms, the activation foci moved in a similar manner to the case of TR = 10 ms but were more spread (Fig. 4b). In some cases, high activation areas were correlated with intracortical veins shown at the bottom of Fig. 4c (e.g., arrow in PC0 of Fig. 4a). It should be noted that the high surface signals in PC1 and PC2 could be from steep transition bands rather than flat pass bands and that magnetic fields are inhomogeneous in the brain and thus the on-resonance area varies with PC angle.

Since low flip-angle (transition-band) bSSFP images have hyper-intensities in transition bands, they can provide information as to how transition-band regions contribute to high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI maps. In the low flip-angle bSSFP images from this study (Fig. 5), hyperintensity was observed in either cortical surface regions at some PC angles (PC1 and PC2 in Fig. 5a and PC0, PC1, and PC2 in Fig. 5b) or

![Fig. 5. Four phase-cycled baseline transition-band bSSFP images acquired at 9.4 T from the same animal shown in Fig. 4. The datasets were acquired with TE/TR = 5/10 ms and flip angle = 2° for (a), and 10/20 ms and flip angle = 4° for (b). Phase cycling (PC) angles are indicated on top of each image.](image)
middle cortical regions at other PC angles. In most cases, transition-band regions (determined by hyperintensity in the low flip-angle bSSFP images) showed high functional signals (Figs. 4a and b), as predictable from the steep magnitude and phase changes induced by frequency shift (Figs. 3a–d). But not all activation foci corresponded to hyperintense regions in the corresponding low flip-angle bSSFP images (Fig. 5).

Quantitative ROI analysis is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 6. Generally, average T values of GRE in cortical area were lower than those of bSSFP with TR of 20 ms but higher than those of bSSFP with TR of 10 ms (Tmean in Table 2). Total number of activation pixels of bSSFP with TR of 20 ms was always higher than that of GRE (Nact in Table 2), implying that bSSFP has higher functional sensitivity than GRE of the matching parameters. When TR = 10 ms, average T values and Nact varied similarly with PC angle, while when TR = 20 ms no significant differences in the two sensitivity measures were observed between any PC angles for both ROIs (Table 2). The specificity measure of TNtissue/Tsurf (Fig. 6a) represented the sensitivity of the tissue region relative to the cortical surface region (i.e., large draining veins); hence a higher ratio indicated higher spatial specificity of the fMRI maps to the middle cortical regions. Another measure, normalized spatial variations of T values in the middle layer ROI (std(T)/mean(T), Fig. 6b), represented the blood vessel-induced pixel-by-pixel heterogeneity; hence a lower value indicated higher spatial homogeneity. TNtissue/Tsurf values of bSSFP with TR = 20 ms were lower (i.e., specificity was higher) and less heterogeneous among PC angles than those of bSSFP with TR = 10 ms, but similar to those of GRE fMRI (Fig. 6a). In contrast, std(T)/mean(T) was higher (i.e., homogeneity was lower) at TR = 20 ms than at TR = 10 ms. However, we could not observe variations in the index of std(T)/mean(T) across PC angles (Fig. 6b), in contrast to the variations in the other index (TNtissue/Tsurf) across PC angles (Fig. 6a).

**Table 2**

Comparison of sensitivity and spatial specificity of functional signal changes in multiple phase-cycled bSSFP and GRE fMRI maps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>TE/TR = 5/10 ms</th>
<th>PC0</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>TE/TR = 10/20 ms</th>
<th>PC0</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>GRE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tmean</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5a</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7b*</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2b*</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nact</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>87 ± 59</td>
<td>113 ± 25*</td>
<td>102 ± 36*</td>
<td>65 ± 35a*</td>
<td>59 ± 28</td>
<td>72 ± 46</td>
<td>77 ± 37</td>
<td>74 ± 36</td>
<td>50 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>278 ± 105</td>
<td>192 ± 60</td>
<td>225 ± 70</td>
<td>190 ± 80</td>
<td>235 ± 20</td>
<td>263 ± 49</td>
<td>264 ± 61</td>
<td>252 ± 110</td>
<td>195 ± 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNtissue/Tsurf</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.3d</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5a*</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>5.5 ± 4.2b</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.1b</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>278 ± 105</td>
<td>192 ± 60</td>
<td>225 ± 70</td>
<td>190 ± 80</td>
<td>235 ± 20</td>
<td>263 ± 49</td>
<td>264 ± 61</td>
<td>252 ± 110</td>
<td>195 ± 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNtissue/Tsurf</td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNsurf/Tmean</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.3d</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5a*</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNsurf/Tmean</td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>7.0 ± 4.2c</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average T values (Tmean), number of activation pixels (Nact), ratio of total fMRI sensitivities between tissue regions (Tissue) and cortical surface regions (Surf.) (TNtissue/Tsurf), and normalized standard deviation within a middle cortical layer (std(T)/mean(T)) are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 6) for GRE and bSSFP fMRI maps acquired at the four phase cycling (PC) angles of 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270° (PC0, PC1, PC2, and PC3, respectively) and the two TR values of 10 ms and 20 ms.

*Statistically significant difference was observed between two values indicated by the same alphabetical superscript. Statistical test was performed among the four PC angles within the same TE/TR for each index and each ROI separately. Statistical test between values from the two TE/TR conditions was performed for the indices of TNtissue/Tsurf and std(T)/mean(T). The results are shown in Fig. 6, but not in this Table for simplicity.

**Fig. 6.** Spatial specificity and homogeneity indices of four phase-cycled bSSFP fMRI maps. (a) Spatial specificity index determined as ratio of total fMRI sensitivities between tissue regions (Tissue) and cortical surface regions (Surf.) (TNtissue/Tsurf), and functional MRI maps from FID, main echo, MIP, and NLA.

The multiple phase-cycled bSSFP datasets were reconstructed into images of FID and main echo components with Fourier analysis and also from MIP and NLA. The fMRI maps from the reconstructed images of a representative animal are shown in Fig. 7. The FID fMRI maps showed visually identifiable correlation with intracortical veins that was stronger than those from the main echo (Fig. 7) and bSSFP (Figs. 4a and b). These fMRI foci following the intracortical veins were visually less distinct in NLA and almost disappeared in MIP (Fig. 7). Average T values and number of activation pixels in the FID component were overall higher than those of the main echo component (Table 3). This demonstrates higher functional sensitivity of the FID component over the main echo component, which is consistent with theoretical baseline intensities (0.048 vs 0.034) shown in Figs. 3f and g. Functional sensitivity of NLA was similar to that of FID component, while that of MIP was between those of FID and main echo components (Table 3). For the specificity measure of TNtissue/Tsurf, no significant difference was observed across the fMRI maps from the four reconstruction methods (Fig. 8a). The normalized spatial variation (std(T)/mean(T)) of main echo component was generally lower (homogeneity was higher) than those of the other...
reconstructed images at both TR values (Fig. 8b and Table 3). The normalized spatial variation \( (\text{std}(T)/\text{mean}(T)) \) of MIP was also slightly lower than that of FID and NLA (Fig. 8b and Table 3).

### Discussion

**Sensitivity and specificity of pass-band (high flip-angle) bSSFP fMRI**

The current study was to investigate signal characteristics of high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI maps at multiple PC angles. Sensitivity of high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI was higher than that of GRE fMRI of the matching parameters and the spatial distortion in these high-resolution bSSFP fMRI was minimal, indicating that high flip-angle bSSFP is a good alternative to EPI typically used for fMRI. Activation foci of bSSFP fMRI spatially shifted as a function of PC angle at both of the TR values, implying that signal sources of high-resolution high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI maps are spatially heterogeneous because of magnetic field inhomogeneity. Because of the dependence on the PC angle, the spatial heterogeneity of bSSFP fMRI signals is also expected to depend on shimming and local susceptibility effects, which are more severe at higher fields. However, the spatial heterogeneity might not be noticeable in the previous lower resolution fMRI studies at 3 T (Miller et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007).

Although spatial shift of activation foci with PC angle was visually observable for bSSFP with both TR values, there were more significant PC-dependent variations in spatial specificity \( (TN_{\text{tissue}}/TN_{\text{surf}}) \) at TR = 10 ms than at TR = 20 ms (Figs. 4 and 6a, Table 2). This might be due to flatter pass bands and steeper transition bands at TR = 10 ms than at TR = 20 ms (Figs. 3c and e). For instance, if functional activation induces a frequency shift of 20 Hz, the amount of phase shifts associated with the activation are 0.4π and 0.8π radian when TR = 10 and 20 ms, respectively. Associated with this phase shifts, magnitude changes of pixels in middle pass-band vs. middle transition-band (Figs. 3c and e) are 1.7% vs. 63% of the maximum baseline intensity at TR = 10 ms, and 32% vs. 53% at TR = 20 ms. This indicates that there will be much more significant spatial heterogeneity at TR = 10 ms than at TR = 20 ms and that stronger bSSFP fMRI signals will be observed in transition-band regions (than in pass-band regions) despite the lower baseline signal intensity, consistent with our experimental observations (Figs. 4–6 and Table 2). Using baseline transition-band bSSFP images, we may be able to choose a PC angle in high flip-angle bSSFP fMRI for minimizing signals of draining veins (cortical surface veins), at which the draining veins appeared as hypointense pixels in the corresponding baseline low flip-angle bSSFP images (e.g. PC3 in Figs. 5a and b). To find the appropriate PC angle, higher than 4 angular steps may be necessary depending on shimming and local susceptibility effects in regions of interest.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>TR = 10 ms</th>
<th></th>
<th>TR = 20 ms</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FID</td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>NLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{mean}} )</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3 ( ^{b} )</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.7 ( ^{b} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{\text{act}} )</td>
<td>Surf.</td>
<td>147 ± 47 ( ^{c} )</td>
<td>71 ± 45 ( ^{c} )</td>
<td>113 ± 47 ( ^{c} )</td>
<td>135 ± 48 ( ^{c} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>320 ± 58 ( ^{d} )</td>
<td>194 ± 64 ( ^{d} )</td>
<td>291 ± 74 ( ^{d} )</td>
<td>348 ± 65 ( ^{d} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TN_{\text{tissue}}/TN_{\text{surf}} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std(T)/mean(T)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.05 ( ^{a,b} )</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FID and main echo components were extracted with Fourier analysis from the bSSFP datasets acquired with the four phase cycling angles of 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270°. Average \( T_{\text{mean}} \) values (\( T_{\text{mean}} \)), number of activation pixels (\( N_{\text{act}} \)), ratio of total fMRI sensitivities between tissue regions (Tissue) and cortical surface regions (Surf.) (\( TN_{\text{tissue}}/TN_{\text{surf}} \)), and normalized standard deviation within a middle cortical layer (\( \text{std}(T)/\text{mean}(T) \)) are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 6).

\( ^{a} \) Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed between two values indicated by the same alphabetical superscript. Statistical test was performed among the FID and main echo components, MIP, and NLA within the same TE/TR for each index and each ROI separately. Statistical test between values from the two TE/TR conditions was performed for the indices of TN_{tissue}/TN_{surf} and std(T)/mean(T). The results are shown in Fig. 8, but not in this Table for simplicity.
When magnetic field inhomogeneity is reduced by choosing a small middle cortical layer ROI, the homogeneity of bSSFP fMRI \((\text{std}(T)/\text{mean}(T))\) was better at shorter TR than longer TR. This result is opposite to that from the specificity index of \(T_{\text{nusss}}/T_{\text{nusff}}\), but consistent with the implications from the previous bSSFP fMRI studies that \(T_2\) and \(T_2^*\) characteristics are dominant at shorter and longer TR values, respectively (Miller et al., 2007). The reason of small variations in \(\text{std}(T)/\text{mean}(T)\) across PC angles (Fig. 6b) is not clear. There were variations in \(\text{std}(T)/\text{mean}(T)\) across PC angles for individual animal, however, the correlation between the index and the baseline transition-band intensity across the PC angles was not significant \((R<0.3)\) (data not shown). We postulate that this may be due to the relatively small ROI, which results in a minimal variation of frequency shift among pixels at baseline and thus relatively homogeneous functional changes across PC angles.

In addition to the magnitude and phase responses of bSSFP, another factor that potentially affects spatial specificity of the bSSFP fMRI at ultrahigh field is short intravascular \(T_2\). Although it is often assumed that fractional BOLD fMRI signals linearly increase with TE, a previous study showed that the large-vessel intravascular signals can generate significant spin echo \((T_2^*\) characteristics) fMRI signals at short TE at 9.4 T (Jin et al., 2006). Our observation of relatively higher cortical surface signals in bSSFP fMRI at shorter TE/TR \((T_2\) characteristics) might be partly attributed to contributions of the large-vessel intravascular signals in cortical surface regions. Since we used a single slice, inflow effects might also contribute to bSSFP fMRI signals. In bSSFP, inflow effects are complex but expected to be stronger at longer TR, because an inflow time is longer, while tissue intensity in pass-band regions does not change with TR (Figs. 3c and e). However, the cortical surface signals in bSSFP fMRI were lower at longer TE/TR, suggesting that contributions of inflow effects to the bSSFP fMRI signals at shorter TE/TR are minimal.

\text{STD}(T)/\text{Mean}(T) \quad \text{FID, Echo, MIP, NLA}

\begin{align*}
\text{TN}_{\text{nusss}}/\text{TN}_{\text{nusff}} & \quad \text{TR 10 ms} \quad \text{TR 20 ms} \\
\text{TN}_{\text{nusss}}/\text{TN}_{\text{nusff}} & \quad \text{TR 10 ms} \quad \text{TR 20 ms}
\end{align*}

Fig. 8. Spatial specificity (a) and homogeneity (b) indices of FID, main echo, maximum intensity projection (MIP), and nonlinear averaging (NLA) signals. Calculations for the two indices were performed in the same way as described in Fig. 6. * represents statistically significant difference \((p<0.05)\).

To our knowledge, it is first time that bSSFP fMRI is performed at multiple PC angles and that Fourier analysis is performed for the multiple PC bSSFP fMRI to directly extract echoes of the multiple pathways. Multiple PC bSSFP fMRI enables us to extract various new fMRI maps. Contributions of FID component to bSSFP fMRI are dominant, which agrees with Zhong et al. (2007), but contributions of main echo component are not negligible, which is consistent with Lee et al. (2009). The MIP and NLA visually reduced the fMRI signals in imaging compared to FID component (Fig. 7), indicating that bSSFP fMRI of individual PC angle has certain tissue specificity and that there is room for manipulating sensitivity and specificity of bSSFP fMRI when acquired at multiple PC angles.
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